AGENDA Governor's Energy Task Force

Achievable Energy Savings Potential Study Administrative Subcommittee Meeting

Date: 7/9/04

Time: 2:00 pm - 4:00 pm

Location: Energy Center of Wisconsin

455 Science Drive, Suite 200

Madison, Wisconsin (608) 238-4601

Agenda Item	Lead	Time	Action
Introductions and Meeting	Karen	2:00 -	Identify additional
Objectives/Agenda	Meadows	2:10	agenda items if any
1. Achievable Energy Savings Potential Study: ECW will present the draft scope of work, timeline and budget	Kevin Grabner & Scott Pigg	2:10 – 2:25	
2. Discussion of Scope	ECW & Committee	2:25 – 3:15	Identify issues for decision. Develop recommendation for each issue
3. ECW will present options and budget implications of TOU inclusion	Kevin Grabner	3:15 – 3:30	Include or exclude?
5. Allocation of Costs	Karen Meadows	3:30 – 3:45	Determine method of allocation of study costs (a suggested method will be presented)
4. Process - discuss the next steps	Karen Meadows w/ Input from Committee	3:45 – 3:50	Define next steps
5. Summary and Close	ECW	3:55 – 4:00	

Governor's Energy Task Force Meeting Summary

Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Potential Study Administrative Subcommittee Meeting

Meeting Date: July 9, 2004

Meeting Attendees:

Oscar Bloch, DOA
Phyllis Dubé, We Energies *
George Edgar, WECC *
John Ness, Xcel, by phone
Jim Mapp, DOA
Chuck McGinnis, Johnson Controls*
Jill Osterholtz, Alliant *
Ilze Rukis, WPS *, by phone
Carol Stemrich, PSCW
Paul Meier, Meir Engineering Research-

Don Wickert, WECC
Roger Casper, DATCAP
Brian Zelenak, Xcel *
Laura Williams, MG&E *
Kevin Grabner, ECW
Karen Meadows, ECW
Scott Pigg, ECW
Susan Stratton, ECW
Peggy Heisch, ECW

Meeting Summary

Objectives

- Review the draft scope of work and determine any changes needed
- Review and approve the budget and proposed budget allocation

Key Decisions

Key decision points, factors to consider in the study and action items from the meeting are bulleted below. A copy of the Power Point presentation will be e-mailed to meeting attendees as a companion to this meeting summary.

- 1. Scope of Work there was general agreement on the scope of work
- 2. Markets selected for the study the goal is to capture 80 to 90% of the market potential. Meeting attendees suggested the following adjustments to the markets initially recommended:
 - Replace small wind with C&I use of wind energy (approved)
 - Add C&I use of solar thermal (suggested)
 - Add use of wood (suggested)
 - At minimum include the same six renewable markets that Focus on Energy uses but preferably more (suggested)

^{*} Members or representatives of members of the Governor's Energy Task Force Administrative Subcommittee.

- Break down the agriculture markets into several submarkets for example, animal, crop and irrigation at a minimum. If only one agriculture market can be assessed, look at dairy (suggested)
- Reduce the number of residential markets (suggested)
- ECW could add private market load management (customer initiated) this is different than dispatchable
- Add residential plug loads (suggested)
- Budget for 36 markets as shown in the proposal but may want to reallocate the markets at the end of task 1.
- 3. ECW was cautioned to be conservative in its estimates of achievable potential.
- 4. A decision was made to proceed with Task 1 of the study. At the end of Task 1, ECW will prioritize the markets and, to the extent feasible, identify those markets that likely make up 80% to 90% of the total potential. Since the budget is based on 36 markets, the Committee will have the opportunity at the end of Task 1 to finalize market selection based on ECW's recommendations.
- 5. Renewable markets we should define the scope of renewable to look at customer side rather than "non-grid connected".
- 6. ECW agreed to provide all materials being distributed for review a minimum of seven working days prior to a meeting to give everyone sufficient time to review the materials.
- 7. There was a great deal of discussion regarding how the data will need to be disaggregated. ECW will determine during Task 1 the feasibility of disaggregating the data in a variety of ways:
 - Low income vs non-low income
 - By utility service territory (determine data needs and cost to do this)
 - Residential and small C&I vs Large C&I
 - Other geographical splits
- 8. Potential Study funding Initially, ECW will prepare MOUs for signature by each funding utility. Cost share allocation for Task 1 will be based on total number of gas and electric meters. The following utilities will co-sponsor the study: Alliant Energy, We Energies, WPS, Xcel Energy, MG&E, WPPI, and Superior Water, Light and Power (SWL&P asked to be a co-sponsor after Friday's meeting).
- 9. Load management (other than customer initiated) will not be part of the current study but may be considered in the future.

Next steps

- ECW will work with Paul Meier to develop a scope of work and budget to customize and populate the FIDO model with the potential study data and additional time of use data to integrate demand-side measures with supply-side performance. This will yield a dynamic, interactive tool that can be used to assess cost-benefit of various supply and demand solutions to resource needs. The committee will make a recommendation whether or not to fund this activity after the budget is determined.
- ECW will prepare MOU's to cover the potential study with initiative approval included for Task 1
- ECW will prepare a proposed list of stakeholders for the stakeholder group and will send this list by e-mail to the committee for further suggestions and comments
- Next in person meeting will be scheduled at the end of September at completion of Task 1